

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,501	07/25/2003	Heinz Zoch	032301.341	3242	
25461 7	7590 10/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
•	230 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A 30307-3592		1713		
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,501	ZOCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William K. Cheung	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Au	<u>igust 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 18-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 18-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	Λ. □ .	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/627,501

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. In view of the amendment filed August 18, 2006, claims 12, 14, 15, 17 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 3-10, 18-25 are pending.
- 2. In view of the amendment filed August 18, 2006, the objection of Claims 1, 3, 12, 14, 15, and 17 is withdrawn. Further, in view of the cancellation of claims 12, 14, 15, 17, the rejection of Claims 12, 14, 15, 17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nagasawa et al. (US 5,609,671) is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 1713

- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-10, 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nagasawa et al. (US 5,609,671) for the reasons adequately set forth from paragraph 5 of the non-final office action of April 19, 2006.

Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the present invention relates to a particular kind of carbon black known in the industry as "gas black", and the carbon black disclosed in Nagasawa et al. is a conventional carbon black. However, applicants fail to recognize that Nagasawa et al. are silent that the disclosed carbon black is a conventional black. Further, applicants recognize that "gas blacks", "lamp blacks", and "gas blacks" are species of the "carbon black" genus. When Nagasawa (col. 1, line 33-37) disclose a carbon black dispersion stabilized in an aqueous medium in the presence of styrene(meth)acrylic resin, the "carbon black" of Nagasawa et al. generically includes the "gas black" as claimed. In view of limited number of species of different kind of carbon blacks, it would not be difficult for one of ordinary skill in art to employ a "gas black" which is still a form of "carbon black" in the disclosed composition of Nagasawa et al. Therefore, the 103 rejection set forth is proper.

The examiner has fully considered the affidavit filed August 18, 2006. Although applicants have shown the differences between "a conventional carbon black" and "a gas black", the affidavit is not effective in overcoming the 103 rejection set forth

Art Unit: 1713

because Nagasawa et al. are silent that the disclosed "carbon black" is "a convention carbon black". Further, although applicants have shown some differences in certain properties between "a conventional carbon black" and "a gas black", none of the properties as disclosed in the affidavit are related to the properties as claimed.

In view of the reasons set forth above, the 103 rejection set forth is maintained.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph

Primary Examiner

WILLIAM K. CHEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 9, 2006